UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANDRE DIGGS,

Plaintiff.

-against-

POLICE OFFICER JOHN DOE, ARRESTING OFFICER ID #959808; POLICE OFFICER JOHN DOE, ARRESTING OFFICER ID #953007.

Defendant(s).

21-CV-5849 (LTS)
ORDER TO AMEND

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is currently incarcerated in Five Points Correctional Facility, brings this *pro* se action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging that Defendants violated his federal constitutional rights. By order dated July 12, 2021, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed without prepayment of fees, that is, *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"). For the reasons set forth below, the Court grants Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint within sixty days of the date of this order.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Prison Litigation Reform Act requires that federal courts screen complaints brought by prisoners who seek relief against a governmental entity or an officer or employee of a governmental entity. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The Court must dismiss a prisoner's IFP complaint, or any portion of the complaint, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(B), 1915A(b); *see Abbas v. Dixon*, 480 F.3d 636, 639

¹ Prisoners are not exempt from paying the full filing fee even when they have been granted permission to proceed IFP. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1).

(2d Cir. 2007). The Court must also dismiss a complaint if the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

While the law mandates dismissal on any of these grounds, the Court is obliged to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *Harris v. Mills*, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret them to raise the "strongest [claims] that they *suggest*," *Triestman v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons*, 470 F.3d 471, 474 (2d Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted) (emphasis in original). But the "special solicitude" in *pro se* cases, *id.* at 475 (citation omitted), has its limits – to state a claim, *pro se* pleadings still must comply with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which requires a complaint to make a short and plain statement showing that the pleader is entitled to relief.

The Supreme Court has held that, under Rule 8, a complaint must include enough facts to state a claim for relief "that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). A claim is facially plausible if the plaintiff pleads enough factual detail to allow the Court to draw the inference that the defendant is liable for the alleged misconduct. In reviewing the complaint, the Court must accept all well-pleaded factual allegations as true. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009). But it does not have to accept as true "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action," which are essentially just legal conclusions. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. After separating legal conclusions from well-pleaded factual allegations, the Court must determine whether those facts make it plausible – not merely possible – that the pleader is entitled to relief. *Id*.

BACKGROUND

The following allegations are taken from the complaint. On August 7, 2020, or August 17, 2020,² at 7:52 a.m., Plaintiff was "falsely arrested" in Manhattan for aggravated harassment in the second degree by Defendant John Doe Police Officer #959808. (ECF 2, at 4.) On August 17, 2020, at 8:30 a.m., Plaintiff was "re-arrested falsely" for aggravated harassment in the second degree by John Doe Police Officer #953007. (*Id.*) The cases against Plaintiff continued until they were dismissed on March 9, 2021. Plaintiff maintains that the cases are a "direct violation" of his rights under the Eighth Amendment.

Plaintiff seeks \$1 million in damages.

Plaintiff attaches to the complaint a "Certificate of Disposition" from the New York

Criminal Court showing that Plaintiff was arrested on August 17, 2020, and listing the March 9,

2021 disposition of the charges as "Dismissed (Motion to Dismiss Granted, Sealed 160.50)."

(ECF 3, at 3.)³

DISCUSSION

The Court construes Plaintiff's allegations as asserting claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for false arrest and malicious prosecution. To state a claim under section 1983, a plaintiff must allege both that: (1) a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) the right was violated by a person acting under the color of state law, or a "state actor." *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48-49 (1988).

² The complaint states that this first event occurred on August 7, 2020, but in the "facts" section of the complaint, Plaintiff states that both events occurred on August 17, 2020. (*See* ECF 2, at 4.)

³ The attached certificate was docketed as part of Plaintiff's prisoner authorization.

A. False Arrest

For the elements of a section 1983 false-arrest claim, the Court first looks to state law. *See Manuel v. City of Joliet, Ill.*, 137 S. Ct. 911, 925 (2017) ("[T]o flesh out the elements of this constitutional tort, we must look for 'tort analogies.""); *see also Lanning v. City of Glens Falls*, 908 F.3d 19, 25 (2d Cir. 2018) (holding that common law principles are meant simply to guide rather than to control the definition of section 1983 claims and courts should not "mechanically apply" the law of New York State).

To establish a false-arrest claim under New York law, a plaintiff must show that: "(1) the defendant intended to confine [the plaintiff], (2) the plaintiff was conscious of the confinement, (3) the plaintiff did not consent to the confinement and (4) the confinement was not otherwise privileged." Liranzo v. United States, 690 F.3d 78, 95 (2d Cir. 2012). An arrest is privileged if it is based on probable cause. Jenkins v. City of New York, 478 F.3d 76, 84 (2d Cir. 2007) ("The existence of probable cause to arrest constitutes justification and is a complete defense to an action for false arrest.") (quoting Weyant v. Okst, 101 F.3d 845, 852 (2d Cir. 1996)) (internal quotation marks omitted). Officers have probable cause to arrest when they "have knowledge or reasonably trustworthy information of facts and circumstances that are sufficient to warrant a person of reasonable caution in the belief that the person to be arrested has committed or is committing a crime." Gonzalez v. City of Schenectady, 728 F.3d 149, 155 (2d Cir. 2013) (emphasis and citation omitted). "Probable cause can exist even where it is based on mistaken information, so long as the arresting officer acted reasonably and in good faith in relying on that information." Bernard v. United States, 25 F.3d 98, 102 (1994); Curley v. Vill. of Suffern, 268 F.3d 65, 70 (2d Cir. 2001) (holding that a police officer is "not required to explore and eliminate every theoretically plausible claim of innocence before making an arrest.").

Here, Plaintiff fails to allege facts suggesting that the police officers did not have probable cause to arrest him on January 15, 2020. In fact, Plaintiff fails to allege *any* facts regarding the circumstances of either of the arrests he challenges here. He merely asserts that he was "falsely arrested," which is a legal conclusion that the Court need not accept as true under Rule 8. *See Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. Plaintiff therefore fails to state a section 1983 claim for false arrest.

B. Malicious Prosecution

The tort of malicious prosecution "remedies detention accompanied, not by absence of legal process, but by wrongful institution of legal process." *Wallace v. Kato*, 549 U.S. 384, 389-90 (2007). To state a claim for malicious prosecution, a plaintiff must allege facts suggesting: (1) that the defendant initiated or continued a prosecution against the plaintiff; (2) that the defendant lacked probable cause to commence the proceeding or believe the proceeding could succeed; (3) that the defendant acted with malice; and (4) that the prosecution was terminated in the plaintiff's favor. *See Fulton v. Robinson*, 289 F.3d 188, 195 (2d Cir. 2002).

Federal and state standards differ on what a plaintiff must show to prove a favorable termination. For a federal malicious prosecution claim to have terminated favorably to the plaintiff, the plaintiff must show that "the underlying criminal proceeding ended in a manner that affirmatively indicates his innocence." *Lanning v. City of Glens Falls*, 908 F.3d 19, 22 (2d Cir. 2018). The disposition cannot "le[ave] the question of question of guilt or innocence unanswered." *Id.* at 28. However, "neither an acquittal nor a finding of actual innocence by clear and convincing evidence is necessary." *Hincapie v. City of New York*, 434 F. Supp. 3d 61, 71–72 (S.D.N.Y. 2020). Rather, "termination must be measured in objective terms by examining the totality of the circumstances." *Id.* (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

Dismissal of charges does not automatically demonstrate favorable termination. A plaintiff must instead "give a specific reason for the dismissal that affirmatively demonstrates his innocence." *Abuskin v. City of New York*, No. 18-CV-4582, 2021 WL 930349, at *9 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 11, 2021) (citing *Thompson v. Clark*, 794 F. App'x 140, 141–42 (2d Cir. 2020)); *see also Foy v. City of New York*, No. 7 Civ. 406, 2019 WL 3717317, at *7 (E.D.N.Y. Aug. 7, 2019) (finding insufficient a termination where the plaintiff did not know why her charges were dismissed).

It is not clear that the dismissal of Plaintiff's criminal charges qualifies as a favorable termination for the purposes of a malicious prosecution claim. Documents attached to the complaint show that the charges were dismissed under New York Criminal Procedure Law § 160.50, but that statute merely provides for the sealing of court and police records upon dismissal of criminal charges. *See Lino v. City of N.Y.*, 101 A.D. 3d 552, 553-57 (1st Dep't 2012) (discussing the scope and purpose of § 160.50). Moreover, section 160.50 allows for termination and sealing based on dismissal on grounds that do not affirmatively indicate innocence, such as jurisdiction. *See* N.Y. Crim. Proc. Law § 160.50(3)(b). Federal courts have rejected the contention that sealing under section 160.50 affirmatively indicates a plaintiff's innocence. *See Falls v. Rivera*, No. 19-CV-3525, 2019 WL 5260720, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 15, 2019).

Without other facts explaining the circumstances under which Plaintiff's case was dismissed, termination under section 160.50 is insufficient to suggest that the dismissal affirmatively indicates Plaintiff's innocence. Plaintiff therefore fails to state a section 1983 claim for malicious prosecution.

C. Claims against the City of New York

When a plaintiff sues a municipality under section 1983, it is not enough for the plaintiff to allege that one of the municipality's employees or agents engaged in some wrongdoing. The

plaintiff must show that the municipality itself caused the violation of the plaintiff's rights. *See Connick v. Thompson*, 131 S. Ct. 1350, 1359 (2011) ("A municipality or other local government may be liable under this section [1983] if the governmental body itself 'subjects' a person to a deprivation of rights or 'causes' a person 'to be subjected' to such deprivation.") (quoting *Monell v. Dep't of Soc. Servs. of City of New York*, 436 U.S. 658, 692 (1978)); *Cash v. Cnty. of Erie*, 654 F.3d 324, 333 (2d Cir. 2011). In other words, to state a section 1983 claim against a municipality, the plaintiff must allege facts showing (1) the existence of a municipal policy, custom, or practice, and (2) that the policy, custom, or practice caused the violation of the plaintiff's constitutional rights. *See Jones v. Town of East Haven*, 691 F.3d 72, 80 (2d Cir. 2012); *Bd. of Cnty. Comm'rs of Bryan Cnty. v. Brown*, 520 U.S. 397, 403 (1997) (internal citations omitted).

Here, Plaintiff fails to allege facts suggesting that the City of New York has a policy, custom, or practice that has caused a violation of his constitutional rights.

LEAVE TO AMEND

Plaintiff proceeds in this matter without the benefit of an attorney. District courts generally should grant a self-represented plaintiff an opportunity to amend a complaint to cure its defects, unless amendment would be futile. *See Hill v. Curcione*, 657 F.3d 116, 123-24 (2d Cir. 2011); *Salahuddin v. Cuomo*, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (2d Cir. 1988). Indeed, the Second Circuit has cautioned that district courts "should not dismiss [a *pro se* complaint] without granting leave to amend at least once when a liberal reading of the complaint gives any indication that a valid claim might be stated." *Cuoco v. Moritsugu*, 222 F.3d 99, 112 (2d Cir. 2000) (quoting *Gomez v. USAA Fed. Sav. Bank*, 171 F.3d 794, 795 (2d Cir. 1999)). Because Plaintiff may be able to allege additional facts to state a valid section 1983 claim, the Court grants Plaintiff sixty days' leave to amend his complaint to detail his claims.

Plaintiff is granted leave to amend his complaint to provide more facts about his claims. First, Plaintiff must name as the defendant(s) in the caption⁴ and in the statement of claim those individuals who were allegedly involved in the deprivation of his federal rights. If Plaintiff does not know the name of a defendant, he may refer to that individual as "John Doe" or "Jane Doe" in both the caption and the body of the amended complaint. The naming of John Doe defendants, however, does *not* toll the three-year statute of limitations period governing this action and Plaintiff shall be responsible for ascertaining the true identity of any "John Doe" defendants and amending his complaint to include the identity of any "John Doe" defendants before the statute of limitations period expires. Should Plaintiff seek to add a new claim or party after the statute of limitations period has expired, he must meet the requirements of Rule 15(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

In the "Statement of Claim" section of the amended complaint form, Plaintiff must provide a short and plain statement of the relevant facts supporting each claim against each defendant. If Plaintiff has an address for any named defendant, Plaintiff must provide it. Plaintiff should include all of the information in the amended complaint that Plaintiff wants the Court to consider in deciding whether the amended complaint states a claim for relief. That information should include:

- a) the names and titles of all relevant people;
- b) a description of all relevant events, including what each defendant did or failed to do, the approximate date and time of each event, and the general location where each event occurred;

⁴ The caption is located on the front page of the complaint. Each individual defendant must be named in the caption. Plaintiff may attach additional pages if there is not enough space to list all of the defendants in the caption. If Plaintiff needs to attach an additional page to list all defendants, he should write "see attached list" on the first page of the Amended Complaint. Any defendants named in the caption must also be discussed in Plaintiff's statement of claim.

- c) a description of the injuries Plaintiff suffered; and
- d) the relief Plaintiff seeks, such as money damages, injunctive relief, or declaratory relief.

Essentially, Plaintiff's amended complaint should tell the Court: who violated his federally protected rights and how; when and where such violations occurred; and why Plaintiff is entitled to relief.

Because Plaintiff's amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the original complaint, any facts or claims that Plaintiff wants to include from the original complaint must be repeated in the amended complaint.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiff is granted leave to file an amended complaint that complies with the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit within sixty days of the date of this order, caption the document as an "Amended Complaint," and label the document with docket number 21-CV-5849 (LTS). An Amended Civil Rights Complaint form is attached to this order. No summons will issue at this time. If Plaintiff fails to comply within the time allowed, and he cannot show good cause to excuse such failure, the complaint will be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff and note service on the docket.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *Cf.*

Case 1:21-cv-05849-LTS Document 5 Filed 07/26/21 Page 10 of 17

Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 26, 2021

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN

Chief United States District Judge

			RICT COURT RICT OF NEW YORK	-	
(In the space above enter the fui			the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s).)	AMEN COMPI under the Civi 42 U.S.C	LAINT il Rights Act,
				Jury Trial: 	□ Yes □ No (check one)
				Civ	()
canno please additi listed	ot fit the name write "see onal sheet of in the above	es of all attach of paper e captio	he full name(s) of the defendant(s). If you led the defendants in the space provided, ed" in the space above and attach an with the full list of names. The names in must be identical to those contained in not be included here.)	_	
I.	Parties	in this	complaint:		
A.		nent. I	e, identification number, and the name and to the same for any additional plaintiffs name	-	=
Plain		Curren Addres	t Institutions		
В.	List all defendants' names, positions, places of employment, and the address where each defendant may be served. Make sure that the defendant(s) listed below are identical to those contained in the above caption. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.				
Defendant No. 1		1	NameWhere Currently EmployedAddress		

Case 1:21-cv-05849-LTS Document 5 Filed 07/26/21 Page 12 of 17

Defer	1dant 110. 2	Name	
		Where Currently Employed	
		Address	
Defer	ndant No. 3	Name	Shield #
		Where Currently Employed	
		Address	
D-f-	udant Na 4		
Dete	ndant No. 4	Name	
		Where Currently Employed	
Defer	ndant No. 5	Name	Shield #
		Where Currently Employed	
		Address	
State captic You 1	on of this complemay wish to incomplements of your claims.	ossible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how ear aint is involved in this action, along with the dates lude further details such as the names of other per Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional content is the content of th	and locations of all relevant errons involved in the events go allege a number of related cl
caption You in rise to	as briefly as poon of this complemay wish to incorport claims. er and set forth	ossible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how ear aint is involved in this action, along with the dates lude further details such as the names of other pe Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to	and locations of all relevant e ersons involved in the events go allege a number of related of tional sheets of paper as nece
State captic You i rise to numb	as briefly as poon of this complemay wish to incorport claims. er and set forth	ossible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how ear aint is involved in this action, along with the dates lude further details such as the names of other pe Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional content is a separate paragraph.	and locations of all relevant expressions involved in the events go allege a number of related claim tional sheets of paper as necessional sheets.
State captic You i rise to numb	as briefly as poon of this complemay wish to incopyour claims. For and set forth	ossible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how ear aint is involved in this action, along with the dates lude further details such as the names of other pe Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional content is a separate paragraph.	and locations of all relevant errons involved in the events go allege a number of related claim tional sheets of paper as necestational sheets.
State captic You rise to numb	as briefly as poon of this complemay wish to incopyour claims. For and set forth In what instite Where in the	ossible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how ear aint is involved in this action, along with the dates lude further details such as the names of other per Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional to the events giving rise to your claim(s) of the control of the events giving rise to your claim(s) of th	and locations of all relevant e croons involved in the events and allege a number of related continual sheets of paper as necestoccur?
State captic You 1 rise to numb	as briefly as poon of this complemay wish to incopyour claims. For and set forth In what instite Where in the	ossible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how ear aint is involved in this action, along with the dates lude further details such as the names of other per Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additionally the events giving rise to your claim(s) of the institution did the events giving rise to your claim(s) of the institution did the events giving rise to your claim(s).	and locations of all relevant e croons involved in the events and allege a number of related claim and sheets of paper as nece occur?
State captic You i rise to numb A.	as briefly as poon of this complimate wish to incomply our claims. For and set forth In what institution Where in the	possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how ear aint is involved in this action, along with the dates lude further details such as the names of other per Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional trution did the events giving rise to your claim(s) of the institution did the events giving rise to your claim and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim and your	and locations of all relevant expresses involved in the events go allege a number of related clational sheets of paper as necessoccur? m(s) occur? g rise to your claim(s) o
State captic You 1 rise to numb	as briefly as poon of this complimate wish to incomply our claims. For and set forth In what institution Where in the	ossible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how ear aint is involved in this action, along with the dates lude further details such as the names of other per Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additionally the events giving rise to your claim(s) of the institution did the events giving rise to your claim(s) of the institution did the events giving rise to your claim(s).	and locations of all relevant ersons involved in the events allege a number of related crional sheets of paper as necessary. m(s) occur? g rise to your claim(s)

Case 1:21-cv-05849-LTS Document 5 Filed 07/26/21 Page 13 of 17

Was	
anyone	
else involved?	
invoiveu:	
Who else saw what happened?	III. Injuries:
	111. Injuries.
	If you sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe them and state what medical treatment, if any, you required and received.
	IV. Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies:
	The Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), requires that "[n]o action shall be brought with respect to prison conditions under section 1983 of this title, or any other Federal law, by a prisoner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are available are exhausted." Administrative remedies are also known as grievance procedures.
	A. Did your claim(s) arise while you were confined in a jail, prison, or other correctional facility?
	Yes No

Case 1:21-cv-05849-LTS Document 5 Filed 07/26/21 Page 14 of 17

giving	e the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where you were confined at the time of the rise to your claim(s).					
Does	the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose have a grievance dure?					
Yes _	No Do Not Know					
	Does the grievance procedure at the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose cover some or all of your claim(s)?					
Yes _	No Do Not Know					
If YE	S, which claim(s)?					
Did y	ou file a grievance in the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose?					
Yes_	No					
	o, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any other jail, in, or other correctional facility?					
Yes _	No					
	If you did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you file the grievance?					
1.	Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve?					
2.	What was the result, if any?					
3. the hi	What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to appeal to ghest level of the grievance process.					
If you	ı did not file a grievance:					
1.	If there are any reasons why you did not file a grievance, state them here:					

Case 1:21-cv-05849-LTS Document 5 Filed 07/26/21 Page 15 of 17

	2.	If you did not file a grievance but informed any officials of your claim, state who you informed, when and how, and their response, if any:
G.	Please remedi	set forth any additional information that is relevant to the exhaustion of your administrative es.
Note:	You m admini	ay attach as exhibits to this complaint any documents related to the exhaustion of your strative remedies.
V.	Relief:	
		want the Court to do for you (including the amount of monetary compensation, if any, that g and the basis for such amount).

VI.	Previ	ious lawsuits:		
A.	Have	you filed other lawsuits in state or federal court dealing with the same facts involved in this an?		
	Yes	No		
В.	If your answer to A is YES, describe each lawsuit by answering questions 1 through 7 below. (If there is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another sheet of paper, using the same format.)			
	1.	Parties to the previous lawsuit:		
	Plaintiff			
	2.Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county)			
	3.	Docket or Index number		
	4.	Name of Judge assigned to your case		
	5.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit		
	6.	Is the case still pending? Yes No		
		If NO, give the approximate date of disposition		
	7.	What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was there judgment in your favor? Was the case appealed?)		
C.	Have you filed other lawsuits in state or federal court otherwise relating to your imprisonment?			
	Yes_	No		
D.	If your answer to C is YES, describe each lawsuit by answering questions 1 through 7 below. (If there is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another piece of paper, using the same format.)			
	1.	Parties to the previous lawsuit:		
	Plaintiff			
	Defendants			
	2.	Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county)		
	3.	Docket or Index number		
	4.	Name of Judge assigned to your case		
	 5.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit		

Rev. 01/2010 6

On these claims

On other claims

Case 1:21-cv-05849-LTS Document 5 Filed 07/26/21 Page 17 of 17

6.	Is the case still pending? Yes No			
	If NO, give the approximate date of disposition			
7.	What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was there judgment in your favor? Was the case appealed?)			
I declare un	nder penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true an	d correct.		
Signed this _	day of			
	Signature of Plaintiff			
	Inmate Number			
	Institution Address			
	plaintiffs named in the caption of the complaint must de inmate numbers and addresses.	ate and sign the complaint and provide		
I declare und	der penalty of perjury that on this day of	, 20, I am delivering		
_	nt to prison authorities to be mailed to the <i>Pro Se</i> Office a District of New York.	e of the United States District Court for		
	Signature of Plaintiff			